

CF100 L

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR**

W.P. (S) No. 30/2007

PETITIONER

Hemant Kumar Uike, Son of
Shri Ramadhin Uike, aged
about 37..Years, Assistant
Teacher, Govt. Primary
School Gidhwabhanwar, Post
Buchatola, P.S. Ghuriya,
District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

P.R. No. 234/07
Presented by Shri. Ramadhin Uike
dated 8/1/07

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary
Department of Education
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)
2. District Education Officer,
Rajnandgaon - District
Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
3. Block Education Officer
Block- Chhuriya
District- Rajnandgaon
(C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
8/1/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR



**WRIT PETITION U/A 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRITS IN THE**

35

NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARI AND
FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRITS AND DIRECTIONS

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Bablu	<u>29.1.2007</u> Mr. Goutam Khetrapal, Advocate for the petitioners. Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State. With the consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties, the matters are heard finally and disposed of. Common order dictated in W.P. (s) No. 302 of 2007 in open Court, passed separately. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.	Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge

(41)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 302 OF 2007

Petitioner : Hemant Kumar Uike
versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 303 OF 2007

Petitioner : Shival Uike
versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 320 OF 2007

Petitioner : Bhojraj Jagane
versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 321 OF 2007

Petitioner : Ramkishun
versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 323 OF 2007

Petitioner : Kalaram Phulkunwar
versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 332 OF 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Jagita Sewta
versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

(42)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 333 OF 2007

Petitioner : Barsan Das Kotare
versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 334 OF 2007

Petitioner : Mansukh Lal Dewangan
versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

AndWRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 362 OF 2007

Petitioner : Sharad Kumar Thakur
versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Goutam Khetrapal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
 Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the
 State/respondents.

ORDER(Passed on 29th Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another*¹.
2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh*

(43)

and another) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.
4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge